UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,914	10/24/2005	Takiko Nakada	0388-050243	1001
	7590 10/28/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS 436 SEVENTH	BUILDING	HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
PITTSBURGH:	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/525,914	NAKADA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		ROBIN HYLTON	3781				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISSIDER AND ASSISTED OF THE MAILING DISSISTED OF THE MAILING DISSISTED OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ju</u>	ulv 2009					
·		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 14-22 and 27-29 is/are pending in the	e application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>14-22 and 27-29</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2009</u> is/are: a)		by the Evaminer				
10/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	***	* *				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
,—	•	Naminor. Noto the attached office	7766611 61 1611111 1 6 162.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: All b Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	, ,,,					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	² atent Application				
rape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-6-09</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The title should include at least one technical, or inventive, feature of the claimed instant invention.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because last sentence is not necessary. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation of claim 17 is redundant since claim 14 has previously set forth a "core formed of an elastic material".

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

Art Unit: 3781

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 14-22, and 27-29 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim of copending Application No. 10/588,593. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose a stopper comprising a core formed of an elastic material and having a liquid-contact surface and an outer peripheral surface continuous with the liquid-contact surface, the liquid-contact surface and the outer peripheral surface being coated with a skin made of a synthetic resin; wherein said skin is a polyester skin made of a polyester resin or a synthetic resin having a polyester resin as a main component thereof, and the polyester skin is bonded to the liquid-contact surface and the outer peripheral surface of said core through a polyethylene bonding layer formed of a polyethylene resin or having a polyethylene resin as a main component thereof. The co-pending application further sets forth the thickness of the layers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to set froth the thicknesses of the layers as in the co-pending applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 14-22 and 27-29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under non-obviousness double patenting and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-22 and 27-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 10. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720/80 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720/80 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,914 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

11. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby	certify that this co	rrespondence for Applic	ation Serial No	is being facsimiled to
The U.S. Paten	and Trademark Of	ffice via fax number 571	-273-8300 on the d	ate shown below:

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
Signature_	
Date	

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick, can be reached on (571) 272-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

October 26, 2009

/Robin A. Hylton/ Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3781